

SYNTHESIS AND CHARACTERIZATION OF NEW ACYCLIC OCTADENTATE LIGAND AND ITS COMPLEXES

Faliah Hassan Ali Al-Jeboori¹, Kafa Khalaf Hammud^{1*} and Mohamad Jaber Al-Jeboori²

¹Ministry of Science and Technology, Baghdad, Iraq.

²College of Education–Ibn–AL–Haitham, Baghdad University, Baghdad, Iraq.

ABSTRACT

The reaction of an equivalent of 2- mercaptoethyl ammonium chloride with on equivalent of 2,6-diformyl -4- methyl phenol gave monoamine which was reacted in suit with half equivalent of 1,2-diamine ethane to give ethylene-bis -6- (2-mercapto-ethyl-imine)-methyl -4- methyl phenol [H₄L]. The ligand were reacted with metal ions [Cr(III), Mn(II), Fe(II), Co(II), Ni(II), Cu(II), Zn(II), Cd(II), and Hg(II)] at reflux ethanol, under nitrogen at atmosphere. The ligand and derivative 2,6-diformyl -4- methyl phenol and metal ion complexes characterized by elemental microanalysis, IR, UV, (¹H, ¹³C, ¹H-¹H, ¹H-¹³C) NMR, and mass spectroscopy and suggested structures and octahedral for the complexes [Cr, Mn, Co, and Ni] while complexes of [Fe, Zn, Cd, and, Hg] suggested tetrahedral geometry and a distorted square planar geometry around copper complex.

INTRODUCTION

Schiff bases ¹(anils, imines, or azomethines: RHC=N-R¹ where R and R¹ are alkyl, aryl, or heterocyclic groups which may be variously substituted) are condensation products of primary amines with carbonyl compounds where the presence of a lone pair of electrons in an sp² hybridized orbital of nitrogen atom is of chemical and biological importance ².

They are excellent chelating agents ³ with the metal ion and this is a result of the relative easiness of preparation, synthetic flexibility, and the special property of C=N group.

They are with many applications such as biological ⁴ (as plant growth regulators, antimycotic, or linkage in the retina of the eye), pharmacological activities ⁵ (as antimalarial, anticancer, antitubercular, anti-inflammatory, antimicrobial, and antiviral), analytical in potentiometric sensors ⁶ for specific metal ions, and industrial applications ⁷ (e.g catalysis, hydrogenation of olefins, or as an effective corrosion inhibitor which is based on their ability to spontaneously form a monolayer on the surface to be protected).

Their metal complexes have been widely studied and applied ⁸. There is great interest in synthesis and characterization of ligand which contain O, N, S sequence and their metal complexes so the present work was oriented towards synthesis of poly dentate ligand with its metal complexes.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

All common laboratory chemicals and reagents were from Aldrich and Fluka and have been used without further purifications. An electro-thermal apparatus Stuart melting point was used to measure the melting points. Infrared spectra were done with Shimadzu FTIR Shimadzu, Japan and Ultraviolet-Visible spectra with 1601PC, Shimadzu, Japan. Conductivity measurements were recorded at CDM 83 conductivity - meter (25°C) for (10⁻⁵-10⁻³) M solutions of the samples in DMSO or DMF.

Mass spectra for ligand and some complexes were obtained by (+) Laser adsorption technique using BRUKERDALTONICS. All isotopes distribution was compared to a computer generated distribution pattern. The spectra were recorded at Queen Mary, London University / United Kingdom.¹ H-, ¹³ C-, ¹ H - ¹ H, and ¹ H - ¹³ C correlated NMR spectra for the ligands and some complexes were recorded in DMSO-d₆, D₂O using a Bruker 400 MHz, Ex 400 MHz and a Jeol 270 MHz instruments with tetramethylsilane (TMS) as an internal standard. The samples were recorded at Queen Mary/

University of London/United Kingdom. Elemental microanalyses were performed on a (C.H.N) analyzer, from Herause (Vario El) at Free Berlin University/ Germany.

Synthesis steps⁹

The compound [2,6-diformyl-4-methylphenol] was synthesized by two different methods. The first method is time consuming and required multi step reactions as well as using large quantity of starting materials. Whilst the other method is considerably more straightforward, and required short time.

First method: To a solution of NaOH (50g, 125mmol.) in H₂O (200mL) was added *p*-cresol (108g, 100mmol.). The mixture was stirred until a clear brown solution was obtained, stirring was continued while a (37%) solution of (CH₂O) (formaldehyde) (215g, 200mmol.) in H₂O was added.

The yellow solution was allowed to stand for 48hrs., after which time a white solid had precipitated. This was filtered and washed with saturated NaCl solution (200mL). The white precipitate was dried under section. The Na salt [Na(2,6-dimethylol-4-methyl phenolate)] was dissolved in 1.5 times the amount of water and neutralized with dilute acetic acid with stirring. The free alcohol crystallized out as white crystals, recrystallized from acetone– water, filtered and dried (90%) product, melting point (128°C).

(10g, 58mmol.) of the dried product (free alcohol) was transferred to a 3-necked round-bottom flask (1000 mL), and a solution of (3g) NaOH [0.75N], in (100mL) H₂O was added. The white suspension was stirred with an over head stirrer of (20) min., and a mixture of (10g, 58 mmol.) benzene sulfonyl chloride and (10mL) benzene was added.

The white mixture was stirred by mechanical stirrer for 3 hrs to give a white precipitate 2,6-dimethylol-4-methyl benzene sulfonyl phenol (88%), m.p. (130°C).

(10.7g, 35mmol.) from 2,6-dimethylol 4-methyl benzene sulfonyl phenol, was placed in a (1000 mL) round bottom flask and (46.2mL) glacial acetic acid was added. The mixture was allowed to reflux and (9g, 70mmol.) of Na₂Cr₂O₇ was added slowly. More oxidizing agent added when solution became blue– green in colour.

The mixture was allowed to cool, and the solid was filtered off, washed with water and dried under section to give a pale green solid (90%) from 2,6-diformyl-4-methyl benzene sulfonyl phenol, m.p. (147°C).

(10g, 33mmol.) from 2,6-diformyl-4-methyl benzene sulfonyl phenol, was added slowly to (30g) of (98%) H₂SO₄ (sulfuric acid) in a (1000mL) beaker with stirring to give a very dark solution which was stirred with cooling in an (ice-bath) for 30 min. Ice was slowly added to the stirring solution causes the dialdehyde to crystallize out as irregular brown plates.

The brown precipitate was filtered off, recrystallised from acetone–water, washed with cold water, dried in vacuum. Yielded 5g, (92%), m.p. (134°C) from 2,6-diformyl-4-methyl phenol.

Second method: The dialdehyde was prepared by a completely different method as follow. To a solution of *p*-cresol (10.8g, 10 mmol.) in (50mL) acetic acid, hexamethylenetetramine [9] (28.2g, 20 mmol.) and (30g, 100mmole) of para formaldehyde were added. The mixture was allowed to stirred continuously until the light brown viscous solution was obtained then heated to (70-90°C.) for two hrs. The solution was cooled to room temperature and concentration H₂SO₄ (10mL) carefully added. The resulting solution was refluxed for half-hr, and then on treatment with distilled water (400mL) a light yellow precipitate was formed, which was stored overnight at (4°C.). The yellow product was isolated by filtration and washed in small amount of cold methanol. More pure product was obtained by means of recrystallization from toluene, yielded (35%) m.p. (132-134°C).

Synthesis of The Ligand Ethylene - bis -6 -(2-mercapto-ethyl azomethine) -2 -azomethine - 4 – methyl phenol [H₄L]

A solution of 2-mercaptoethyl ammonium chloride (0.61g, 5.4 mmol.) in (200 mL) methanol was added gradually to a solution of (2,6-diformyl-4-methyl phenol) (0.89g, 5.4 mmol.) dissolved in (200 mL) methanol . The reaction mixture was refluxed for one hour, and cooled to room temperature, then 1,2 ethylene diamine (0.16g, 0.18mL, 2.7 mmol.) was added gradually.

The reaction mixture was allowed to reflux for three hours under nitrogen blanket, and an orange precipitate was observed after refluxing for two hours. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature . The precipitate was filtered off and washed several times with iso-propanol. The crude product was recrystallized from absolute ethanol . Yield (75 %) m.p (280 – 284 °C).

Synthesis of [H₄L] Complexes

(1.4 mmol.) of metal chloride dissolved in methanol (10 mL) was added dropwise under nitrogen atmosphere to a solution, of [H₄L] (0.26g, 0.7 mmol.) dissolved in (15 mL) methanol. The reaction mixture was stirred and pH was adjusted to Ca 9 by adding methanolic potassium hydroxide. The reaction mixture was filtered off, and allowed to refluxed for two hours. The precipitate was formed, which filtered off, washed several times with absolute ethanol and dried


RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

New polydentate ligand with N₄O₂S₂, donor atoms have been synthesized. In general the ligand contains four labile protons [H₄L] and by removing these protons an anionic polydentate system is formed.

This ligand was prepared to change the properties of the ligand from hydrophilic to lipophilic, change redox behavior of the prepared complexes, and observe the effect of cyclization on the coordination with metal ions.

The ligand was synthesized from the reaction of one equivalent of 2-mercaptoethyl ammonium chloride with one equivalent of 2,6-diformyl-4-methyl phenol. The reaction was carried out in methanol at reflux .



The reaction mixture was reacted with half equivalent of 1,2-diamineethane to give octadentate ligand with N₄O₂S₂ donor atoms according to the following Scheme (1).



Scheme (1) The Synthesis Route of [H₄L]

The ligand (C₂₄H₃₀N₄O₂S₂, M.wt: 470) was obtained in a high yield (80%) as an orange crystalline, m.p (280–284°C), soluble in water, DMSO, DMF, ethanol and methanol but not soluble in dichloromethane or chloroform. CHN analysis [found (calculated)] for this compound was done C%: 61.22 (61.24), H% : 6.42 (6.37), N%: 11.90 (11.92).

The complexes were prepared by a similar method, from the reaction of the ligand with metal chloride salt, according to general method shown in Scheme (2).



Scheme (2): The Synthesis Route of The[H₄L].

The reaction was carried out in methanol, at reflux and under nitrogen atmosphere, in the presence of KOH as a base. The choice of base was important and no pure complexes could be isolated using another base.

The complexes were soluble in DMSO and DMF but not in water or CH_2Cl_2 . Microanalysis of the complexes along with metal and chloride analyses are in good agreement with the calculated values, Table 1.

The (I.R) spectrum for the starting material (cystamine) 2-mercaptoethyl ammonium chloride was shown in and 1,2diamine ethane exhibited bands at $(3335, 3320 \text{ and } 1615) \text{ cm}^{-1}$ attributed to the $\nu(\text{NH}_2)$ symmetrical and asymmetrical stretching and (NH_2) bending respectively.

The (I.R) spectrum for [H₄L], [Figure1] displayed a weak absorption band at $(2921) \text{ cm}^{-1}$ assigned to $\nu(\text{C-H})$ confirmed by $\delta(\text{CH}_2)$ bending vibration at 1348 cm^{-1} ¹⁰. The sharp double bands at $(1627 \text{ and } 1598) \text{ cm}^{-1}$ were assigned to asymmetric and symmetric $\nu(\text{C=N})$ group^{11,12}. The broad band at $(3431) \text{ cm}^{-1}$ is assigned to $\nu(\text{OH})$, while the band at $(1226) \text{ cm}^{-1}$ assigned to $\nu(\text{C-O})$ ^{13,14}.

The medium band at $(1037) \text{ cm}^{-1}$ is attributed to $\nu(\text{C-S})$ ¹⁵. The spectrum showed a weak band at $(2586) \text{ cm}^{-1}$ which is attributed to $\nu(\text{S-H})$. This band was shifted by $(61) \text{ cm}^{-1}$ to higher frequency in comparison with that in cystamine at $(2525) \text{ cm}^{-1}$. The assignment of the characteristic bands are summarized in Table 2.

The (I.R)spectra of all prepared metal complexes showed the assignment of the characteristic bands are summarized in Table 3.

The spectrum of the ligand [H₄L] Figure 1, showed strong double bands at $(1627, 1598) \text{ cm}^{-1}$ may be assigned to asymmetric and symmetric stretching $\nu(\text{C=N})$ ¹⁴. In the complexes these bands observed as double bands and were shifted to lower wave number at $(1620, 1598, 1623, 1600 \text{ and } 1623, 1598) \text{ cm}^{-1}$ for the prepared (Cr), (Mn), and (Fe) complexes respectively .

These results confirm that, the nitrogen atoms of azomethine groups are coordinated to the metal ions ,and a π -back bonding is occurred¹⁶.

The (I.R) spectrum of [H₄L] ligand exhibited band at $(1226) \text{ cm}^{-1}$ assignable to the phenolic $\nu(\text{C-O})$ vibration. In the complexes this vibration is shifted to higher wave number and appeared at $(1228, 1228 \text{ and } 1259) \text{ cm}^{-1}$ for compounds (Cr), (Mn), and (Fe) respectively. This can be attributed to the increase of bond order of (C-O)group, upon complexation with metal ions.


This evidence indicated the coordination of the oxygen atom of the phenolic group to the metal ions¹⁷. The medium band at 1037 cm^{-1} assignable to the $\nu(\text{C-S})$ vibration⁽²⁷⁾ in the ligand [H₄L], has been shifted to higher wave number and appeared at $(1065, 1041, \text{ and } 1039) \text{ cm}^{-1}$ for (Cr), (Mn), and (Fe) complexes. This shifting indicated that, the sulfur atoms of the (C-S) groups are coordinated to the metal ions¹⁸.

The bonding of the metal ions to the ligand through the nitrogen, oxygen and sulfur atoms is further supported by the presence of new bands at (570-440), (489-440) and (430-414) cm^{-1} ranges due to $\nu(\text{M-N})$, $\nu(\text{M-O})$ and $\nu(\text{M-S})$ stretching vibration¹⁹.

The (I.R) spectra for (Cr) and (Mn) complexes showed bands due to $\nu(\text{O-H})$ and (O-H) at (3421, 3363) (broad) and at (3809, 819) (weak) respectively, suggesting the presence of coordinated water in complexes¹². The (I.R) spectra of the other complexes are summarized in Table 3.

The ^1H , ^{13}C , $^1\text{H}-^1\text{H}$ and $^1\text{H}-^{13}\text{C}$ correlated NMR analysis were used to characterize the ligand and precursor. The spectra have been recorded in DMSO-d_6 solution.

In the solution, as in the solid state. It is clear that an intramolecular hydrogen bonding between the hydrogen of the phenolic group and the nitrogen atom of the imine group is occurred.



The ^1H NMR spectrum for $[\text{H}_4\text{L}]$ [Figure 3] in DMSO-d_6 showed. A signal at chemical shift ($\delta_{\text{H}}=8.65\text{ppm}$, (4H, br, $\text{N}=\text{C-H}$)) assignable to protons of azomethine groups¹¹, ($\text{C}_{8,13,21,24}$). The broadness of this signal is due to the hydrogen bonding occurred and subsequently the azomethine groups are non-equivalents.

The doublet signals at ($\delta_{\text{H}}=7.36$ and $\delta_{\text{H}}=7.15$ ppm, 4H, d, Ar-H) related to protons of aromatic ring. ($\text{C}_{3,5,15,17}$). The appearances of these protons as a doublet is a result of mutual coupling²⁰. The broad chemical shift at ($\delta_{\text{H}}=13.84$ ppm, 2H, br, O-H) can be attributed to the protons of the (O-H) phenolic group ($\text{C}_{1,19}$).

The multiplet signals located at ($\delta_{\text{H}}=3.30-2.85$ ppm) region which is equivalent to four protons are assignable to protons of methylene ($-\text{SCH}_2-$) groups^{14,20} ($\text{C}_{11,10}$) (4H, m, SCH_2- , $J_{\text{H-H}}=20\text{Hz}$). The multiplet signal at ($\delta_{\text{H}}=3.85-3.41$ ppm) range (8H, m, NCH_2- , $J_{\text{H-H}}=18\text{Hz}$) which is equivalent to eight protons are assignable to protons of ($-\text{NCH}_2-$) groups, ($\text{C}_{9,12,22,23}$).

The resonance of the two methyl groups are equivalent and appeared as a sharp singlet at ($\delta_{\text{H}}=2.21$ ppm, 6H, s) [21]. The resonance is equivalent to six protons. The above chemical shift assignments were supported by $^1\text{H}-^1\text{H}$, 2D correlated NMR spectrum [Figure 4]. All details are summarized in Table 4.

The ^{13}C NMR spectrum for $[\text{H}_4\text{L}]$ [Figure 5] in DMSO-d_6 solvent showed resonance at chemical shifts ($\delta_{\text{C}}=164.34$ and $\delta_{\text{C}}=156.71$ ppm) assigned to carbon atoms of azomethine groups¹⁴ ($\text{C}_{8,13,21,24}$), indicating that, the two azomethine groups are non-equivalents due to intra-hydrogen bonding.

This result supported that obtained in ^1H NMR. The aromatic carbon atoms are shown in the chemical shift at ($\delta_{\text{C}}=118.53$ ppm, $\text{Ar-C}_{2,8}$), ($\delta_{\text{C}}=128.53$ ppm ($\text{Ar-C}_{3,5,15,17}$), 129.23 ($\text{Ar-C}_{6,14}$), ($\delta_{\text{C}}=132.91$ ppm, $\text{Ar-C}_{4,16}$) and ($\delta_{\text{C}}=147.71$ ppm, $\text{Ar-C}_{1,19}$) respectively²².

The methylene carbon atoms appeared at chemical shift ($\delta_{\text{C}}=36.51$ ppm), ($\delta_{\text{C}}=50.34$ ppm), ($\delta_{\text{C}}=58.25\text{ppm}$) and ($\delta_{\text{C}}=68.29$ ppm), are assigned to ($\text{C}_{10,11-\text{S}}$), ($\text{C}_{9,12-\text{N}}$) and ($\text{C}_{22,23-\text{N}}$) respectively²².


The carbon atoms of the methyl groups ($\text{C}_{7,20}$) have been detected at ($\delta_{\text{C}}=20.12$ ppm). The above chemical shift assignments were supported by $^1\text{H}-^{13}\text{C}$, 2D correlated NMR spectrum [Figure 6].

The ^1H NMR spectrum for the $[\text{Hg}]$ complex showed no signals ($\delta=13.84$ ppm) nor ($\delta=4.11\text{ppm}$), which indicated the loss of the (O-H) and (S-H) upon complexation. The doublet signal at ($\delta=8.63$, 8.23 ppm) and multiple signal at ($\delta=7.22-7.00$ ppm) refer to ($\text{N}=\text{C-H}$) and (Ar-H) protons respectively which shifted to down field compared to that in the free ligand.

The appearance of the signal of azomethine ($\text{N}=\text{C-H}$) as a doublet may be related to the slightly difference in the chemical environment of the two groups. This is in accordance with the result obtained from the (I.R) data. The methyl and methylene group protons have same positions as in the free ligand.

The laser adsorption (+) mass spectrum of the ligand [H₄L] shows the parent ion peak at (M/Z) = 470.651, which corresponds to [M⁺], other fragments and their relative abundance and fragmentation sequence is shown in Scheme (3).

The molar conductivity of the complexes in (DMF) indicated the (1:1) electrolyte nature²³ for Cr complex and the (1:2) electrolyte nature for the others.



Scheme(3): The Fragmentation Sequence of [H₄L]

The (UV) absorption data of these complexes are given in Table 8. The intense absorption peaks in the (UV) region at (284 nm),(350 nm), (270 nm), (360 nm), (280 nm),and (340 nm) for Cr, Mn, and Fe complexes respectively were assigned to ligand field and charge transfer respectively.

Other peaks in the visible region at (580 nm), (420 nm), and (440 nm) are assigned to (d-d) transition type (⁴A_{2g}^(F) → ⁴T_{2g}^(F)) (⁶A_{1g}^(F) → ⁶T_{2g}^(P)) and (B₂ → E) for Cr, Mn, and Fe complexes respectively²⁴. The intense absorption peaks in (UV) region at (270 nm), (271 nm), (280 nm) for Co, Ni, and Cu complexes respectively assigned to ligand field.

In addition the spectrum of Ni complex showed additional peak at (344 nm) assigned to charge transfer transition. In visible region Ni complex exhibited two peaks at (404 nm) and (427 nm) are attributed to (d-d) transition type (³A_{2g}^(F) → ³T_{1g}^(P)) and (³A_{2g}^(F) → ³T_{1g}^(F)) confirm a octahedral structure around nickel (II) ion²⁵.

While Co and Cu complexes exhibit peak in the visible region (429nm) and (913 nm) are attributed to (⁴T_{1g}^(F) → ⁴T_{1g}^(P)) and (²B_{1g} → ²E_{2g}) transition for Co and Cu complexes respectively, confirm an octahedral structure around cobalt (II) ion²⁵ and square planar structure around copper II ion.

The (UV-Vis) spectra of Zn, Cd, and Hg complexes exhibited two intense absorption peaks at (272nm), (397 nm), (273 nm), (398 nm),(286 nm), and(344 nm) for Zn, Cd, and Hg complexes respectively are assigned to ligand field and charge transfer respectively. In addition the spectrum of Hg complex showed additional peak at assigned to charge transfer transition, too. Since these metal ions belong to d¹⁰ system, these metals do not show (d-d) transition²⁶.

Table 1: Elemental Analysis Results of [H₄L] and Its Metal Complexes

Empirical Formula	M.wt	Microanalysis found (Calc.) %				
		C	H	N	Metal	Cl
C ₂₄ H ₃₀ N ₄ O ₂ S ₂	470.18	61.35	6.40	11.94	—	—
		(61.25)	6.42	11.90		
[Cr ₅ (C ₂₄ H ₂₆ N ₄ O ₂ S ₂) ₂ (H ₂ O) ₅ Cl ₅]Cl ₂	1467.42	40.01	3.46	7.75	17.75	17.01
		(39.56)	(3.57)	(7.69)	(17.71)	(16.93)
[Mn ₅ (C ₂₄ H ₂₆ N ₄ O ₂ S ₂) ₂ (H ₂ O) ₁₀] Cl ₂	1465.65	39.65	3.73	7.40	18.50	4.91
		(39.15)	(3.53)	(7.61)	(18.85)	(4.84)
[Fe ₅ (C ₂₄ H ₂₆ N ₄ O ₂ S ₂) ₂] Cl ₂	1290.2	42.01	4.11	7.88	19.90	5.665
		(44.65)	(4.03)	(8.68)	(21.64)	(5.50)
[Co ₅ (C ₂₄ H ₂₆ N ₄ O ₂ S ₂) ₂ (H ₂ O) ₁₀] Cl ₂	1491	38.51	3.41	7.43	19.98	4.82
		(38.63)	(3.48)	(7.51)	(14.77)	(4.76)
[Ni ₅ (C ₂₄ H ₂₆ N ₄ O ₂ S ₂) ₂ (H ₂ O) ₁₀] Cl ₂	1484.55	39.01	5.01	7.66	20.03	5.01
		(38.80)	(4.84)	(7.54)	(19.77)	(4.78)
[Cu ₅ (C ₂₄ H ₂₆ N ₄ O ₂ S ₂) ₂] Cl ₂ . H ₂ O	1346.7	43.01	3.90	8.50	24.01	5.50
		(42.77)	(4.00)	(8.31)	(23.59)	(5.27)
[Zn ₅ (C ₂₄ H ₂₆ N ₄ O ₂ S ₂) ₂] Cl ₂ .H ₂ O	1355.85	43.19	3.85	8.33	24.71	5.41
		(43.31)	(3.41)	(8.42)	(24.57)	(5.33)
[Cd ₅ (C ₂₄ H ₂₆ N ₄ O ₂ S ₂) ₂] Cl ₂ .H ₂ O	1253.8	46.01	4.41	8.99	45.01	5.71
		(45.94)	(4.30)	(8.93)	(44.8)	(5.66)
[Hg ₅ (C ₂₄ H ₂₆ N ₄ O ₂ S ₂) ₂] Cl ₂ .H ₂ O	2032.1	28.66	2.63	5.63	50.03	3.55
		(28.75)	(2.59)	(5.59)	(44.42)	(3.54)

(Calc.) Calculated

Table 2: Infrared spectral data (Wave Number, ν^-) cm⁻¹ of the ligand and starting materials

Cystamin	ν (C–S): 1100 w, ν (CH ₂): 2900m, ν (SH): 2525 _(w) , ν (NH ₂): 3000m, δ (NH): 1530w,
1,2 diamine ethyleme	ν (NH ₂): 3335 _(s) 1615
H ₄ L	ν (C=N): 1627 _(s) 1598, ν (C=C): 1512, 1461, ν (C–S): 1037 _(m) , ν (CH) al., ar.: 2921 _(w) , 2871, ν (C–O): 1226 _(m) , ν (CH ₂): 1345 _(m) , ν (OH): 3431 _(br) , ν (SH): 2558 _(w)

S= strong ; m= medium ; w= weak ; al.= aliphatic, ar.= aromatic

Table 3: Infrared spectral data (cm^{-1}) of the ligand [H_4L] and its metal complexes

Compounds	ν (C=N)	ν (C=C)	$\nu(\text{S-CH}_2)$ $\nu(\text{S-H})$	$\nu(\text{C-S})$	$\nu(\text{C-H})_{\text{Al}}$ $\nu(\text{C-H})_{\text{Ar}}$	$\nu(-\text{CH}_2)$	$\nu(\text{C-O})$	$\nu(-\text{OH})$	$\nu(\text{OH})$	Additional peak $\nu(\text{M-N})$, $\nu(\text{M-O})$, $\nu(\text{M-S})$
$[\text{H}_4\text{L}^3]$	1627 _(s) 1598	1461	1269 _(w) 2586 _(w)	1037 _(m)	2921 _(w) 2871	1348	1226 _(m)	3431 _(br)	—	
$[\text{Cr}_5(\text{L})_2 \cdot (\text{H}_2\text{O})_5(\text{Cl})_5]$ Cl_2	1620 _(s) 1598	1445	—	1065 _(m)	2921 _(w) 2808	1348	1228 _(m)	3421 _(br)	809 _(w)	516, 468, 420
$[\text{Mn}_5(\text{L})_2 \cdot (\text{H}_2\text{O})_{10}]\text{Cl}_2$	1623 _(s) 1600	1440	1274 —	1041 _(m)	2923 _(w) 2858	1348	1228 _(m)	3363 _(br)	819	520, 489, 430 , 414
$[\text{Fe}_5(\text{L})_2]\text{Cl}_2$	1623 _(s) 1598	1450	1259 —	1037 _(m)	2921 _(w) 2818	1350	1259 _(m)	3402 _(br)	—	575, 550, 440 , 420
$[\text{Co}_5(\text{L})_2 \cdot (\text{H}_2\text{O})_{10}]$ Cl	1622 _(s)	1448	1271 —	1051 _(m)	2918 _(w) 2868	1340	1232 _(m)	3398 _(br)	820 _(w)	490, 440, 414
$[\text{Ni}_5(\text{L})_2 \cdot (\text{H}_2\text{O})_{10}] \text{Cl}_2$	1629 _(s)	1450		1058 _(m)	2921 _(w) —	1342	1232 _(m)	3392 _(br)	820 _(w)	528
$[\text{Cu}_5(\text{L})_2(\text{Cl})_2](\text{H}_2\text{O})$	1631 _(s) 1604	1444	1278	1045 _(m)	2921 _(w) 2860	1340	1232 _(m)	3436 _(br)	815 _(w)	587, 513
$[\text{Zn}_5(\text{L})_2] \text{Cl}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})$	1627 _(s)	1450	1268	1041	2920 _(w) 2862	1345	1230 _(m)	3440 _(br)	802	572, 487, 440
$[\text{Cd}_5(\text{L})_2] (\text{Cl})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})$	1629 _(s)	1444	1270	1035 _(m)	2918 _(w) 2862	1348	1224 _(m)	3434 _(br)	802 _(w)	550, 460, 420
$[\text{Hg}_5(\text{L})_2] (\text{Cl})_2 (\text{H}_2\text{O})$	1625 _(s) 1595	1448	1278	1042 _(m)	2918 _(w) 2870	1344	1228 _(m)	3440 _(br)	833 _(w)	570, 490, 430

Table 4: ^1H NMR data for the ligand measured in DMSO-d_6

Compound	Functional Group	δ_s (ppm) ^b
[H_4L]	$-\text{OH}$	13.84, (2H, b)
	$\text{N}=\text{C}-\text{H}$	8.65, 7.62 (4H, S)
	$\text{Ar}-\text{C}-\text{H}$	7.32, 7.15 (4H, d, $J_{\text{H-H}}=12$ Hz)
	$-\text{NCH}_2-$	3.85 -3.41 (8H, M, $J_{\text{H-H}}=18$ Hz)
	$\text{S}-\text{CH}_2$	3.30 - 2.85 (4H, M, $J_{\text{H-H}}=20$ Hz)
	$-\text{NCH}_2-\text{CH}_2-\text{N}-$	3.1 (4H, S)
	$-\text{CH}_3$	2.21 (6H, S)

br = broad δ_s = chemical shift S = singlet d = doublet

Table 5: ^{13}C NMR data for the ligand measured in DMSO-d_6

Compound	Functional Group	δ_s (ppm)
[H ₄ L]	H-C = N	164.34
	(Ar-C)	118.53, 128.53, 129.73, 132.91, 148.73
	NCH ₂	50.34
	NCH ₂ CH ₂ N	68.29
	SCH ₂	36.55
	CH ₃	20.12

Table 6: ^1H NMR data for the [Hg]-complex measured in DMSO-d_6

Compound	Functional Group	δ_s (ppm) ^b
[Hg ₅ (L) ₂] Cl ₂ .H ₂ O	N=C-H	8.36, 8.23 (4H, d)
	Ar-C-H	7.22 – 7.00 (4H, m)
	-NCH ₂	3.85 – 3.41 (4H, m)
	S-CH ₂	3.30 – 2.85 (4H, m)
	-CH ₃	2.21 (6H, S)

br = broad m= multiple d= doublet s = sharp


Table 7: The Molar Conductivity of the Complexes

Compound	Am S.cm ² .mole ⁻¹
[Cr ₅ (L) ₂ (H ₂ O) ₅ Cl ₅]Cl ₂	73
[Mn ₅ (L) ₂ (H ₂ O) ₁₀]Cl ₂	152
[Fe ₅ (L) ₂]Cl ₂	140
[Co ₅ (L) ₂ (H ₂ O) ₁₀]Cl ₂	145
[Ni ₅ (L) ₂ (H ₂ O) ₁₀]Cl ₂	140
[Cu ₅ (L) ₂ (H ₂ O) ₂]H ₂ O	140
[Zn ₅ (L) ₂]Cl ₂ .H ₂ O	160
[Cd ₅ (L) ₂]Cl ₂ .H ₂ O	165
[Hg ₅ (L) ₂]Cl ₂ .H ₂ O	165

Table 8: Electronic Spectral Data Of [H4L3] and Its Metal Complexes

Compound	wave length	wave number	ϵ_{\max} molar ⁻¹ cm ⁻¹	Assignment	Proposed structure
	λ nm	ν^{-1} cm ⁻¹			
[H ₄ L]	258	38759	2395	$\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$	
	286	34965	2019	$\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$	
	336	29761	770	$n \rightarrow \pi^*$	
	402	24875	338	$n \rightarrow \pi^*$	
[Cr ₅ (L ³) ₂ (H ₂ O) ₅ Cl ₅]Cl ₂	284	35211	396	Ligand field	Octahedral
	360	23094	128	Charge transfer	
	580	17241	50	${}^4A_{2g}({}^F) \rightarrow {}^4T_{2g}({}^F)$	
[Mn ₅ (L ³) ₂ (H ₂ O) ₁₀]Cl ₂	270	37037	1147	Ligand field	Octahedral
	360	27777	1470	Charge transfer	
	420	23255	314	${}^6A_{1g}({}^S) \rightarrow T_{2g}$	
[Fe ₅ (L ³) ₂]Cl ₂	280	35714	4000	Ligand field	Tetrahedral
	340	27777	4000	Charge transfer	
	440	22727	80	$B_2 \rightarrow E$	
[Co ₅ (L ³) ₂ (H ₂ O) ₁₀]Cl	270	37037	1805	Ligand field	Octahedral
	429	23310	675	${}^4T_{1g}({}^F) \rightarrow {}^4T_{1g}({}^F)$	
[Ni ₅ (L ³) ₂ (H ₂ O) ₁₀]Cl ₂	271	36900	2664	Ligand field	Octahedral
	344	29940	942	Charge transfer	
	404	24752	786	${}^3A_{2g}({}^F) \rightarrow {}^3T_{1g}({}^F)$	
	427	23419	833	${}^3A_{2g}({}^F) \rightarrow {}^3T_{1g}({}^F)$	
[Cu ₅ (L ³) ₂ Cl ₂]H ₂ O	280	35714	4500	Ligand field	Square planar
	392	25510	3436	Charge transfer	
	913	10952	40	${}^2B_{1g} \rightarrow {}^2E_{2g}$	
[Zn ₅ (L ³) ₂]Cl ₂ .H ₂ O	272	36764	2713	Ligand field	Tetrahedral
	397	25188	1426	Charge transfer	
[Cd ₅ (L ³) ₂]Cl ₂ .H ₂ O	272	36764	3656	Ligand field	Tetrahedral
	398	25125	3039	Charge transfer	
[Hg ₅ (L ³) ₂]Cl ₂ .H ₂ O	286	27777	3900	Ligand field	Tetrahedral
	344	29069	1940	Charge transfer	
	427	23419	1159	Charge transfer	

Recorded in DMF

Fig. 1: Infrared Spectrum of [H₄L]




Fig. 2: Infrared Spectrum of $[Cr_5(L)_2(H_2O)_5Cl_5]Cl_2$




Fig. 3: 1H NMR Spectrum of $[H_4L]$ in $DMSO-d_6$




Fig. 4: $^1H-^1H$, 2D Correlated NMR Spectrum of $[H_4L]$ in $DMSO-d_6$




Fig. 5: ^{13}C NMR Spectrum of $[\text{H}_4\text{L}]$ in DMSO-d_6





Fig. 6: $^1\text{H}-^{13}\text{C}$, 2D Correlated NMR Spectrum of $[\text{H}_4\text{L}]$ in DMSO-d_6






Fig. 8: The laser adsorption (+) mass spectrum of the ligand [H₄L].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Our deep grateful thanks are due to Prof. Dr. D. V. Griffith and staff members of the department of Chemistry of Queen Mary, University of London/ United Kingdom for their help.

REFERENCES

1. Cimerman Z, Miljanic S and Galic N. Schiff Bases Derived from Aminopyridines as Spectrofluorimetric Analytical Reagents. *Croatica Chemica Acta*. 2000;73(1):81- 95.
2. Patel P, Thaker B and Zele S. Preparation and characterisation of some lanthanide complexes involving a heterocyclic β – diketone. *Indian J Chem*. 1999;38A:563-567.
3. S. Patai S., Edited., "The Chemistry of the Carbon-Nitrogen Double Bond", John Wiley & Sons, 1970, London; E. Jungreis and S. Thabet S, "Analytical Applications of Schiff bases", Marcell Dekker, 1969, New York.
4. Rudrapal M and De B. Chemistry and biological importance of heterocyclic Schiff's bases. *Int Res J Pure Appl Chem*. 2013;3(3):232-249.
5. Wadher S, Puranik M, Karande N and Yeole P. Synthesis and biological evaluation of Schiff base of Dapsone and their derivative as antimicrobial agents. *J Pharm Tech Res*. 2009;1(1):22-33.
6. Gupta V, Singh A, Mehtab S and Gupta B. Cobalt(II) selective PVC membrane based on a Schiff base complex of N, N'-bis(salicylidene)-3,4-diaminotoluene. *Anal Chem Acta*. 2006;566:5-10.
7. Ashassi-Sorkhabi H, Shabani B, Aligholipour B and Seifzadeh D. The effect of some Schiff bases on the corrosion of aluminum in hydrochloric acid solution. *Appl Surf Sci*. 2006;252(12):4039-4047
8. Hernandez M, Mckee M, Keizer T, Yeaswood B and Atwood D. Six-coordinate aluminium cations: characterization, catalysis, and theory. *J Chem Soc Dalton Trans*. 2002;410-414.
9. Cozzi P. Metal–Salen Schiff base complexes in catalysis: practical aspects. *Chem Soc Rev*. 2004;33:410-421.
10. Al-Jeboori F. PhD Thesis, Novel acyclic and cyclic polydentate ligands derived from 2,6-diformyl-4-methylphenol and their metal complexes. Synthesis and physico-chemical studies, College of Education–Ibn–AL–Haitham, Baghdad University, 2006.
11. Al-Jeboori F, Al-Jeboori F, Hammud K, Ashour H and Mohammad J. Synthesis and characterization of polydentate ligand and its metal complexes. *J Chem Pharm Res*. 2013;5(4):60-170.
12. William M, Robert L and Shields L. *Modern Methods of Chemical Analysis*. " SI unit Edition , John Wiley and Sons , New York, 1988: 233.

13. Sanmartín J, Bermejo M, García-Deibe A, Rivasl and Fernández A. Zinc and cadmium complexes with versatile hexadentate Schiff base ligands. The supramolecular self-assembly of a 3-D cage-like complex. *J Chem Soc. Dalton Trans.* 2000;4174-4181.
14. Nakamoto K. *Infrared and Raman Spectra of Inorganic and Coordination Compounds.* John Wiley and Sons, New York. 1978; 3 rd Edn: 232.
15. Tümer M, Köksal H, Serin S and Dıgırak M. Antimicrobial activity studies of mononuclear and binuclear mixed-ligand copper(II) complexes derived from Schiff base ligands and 1,10-phenanthroline. *Trans Met Chem.* 1999;24(1):13-17.
16. Köksal H, Tümer M and Serin S. Synthesis and characterisation of binuclear Cu(II), Ni(II) and Co(II) chelates with tetradentate Schiff base ligands derived from 1,5-diaminonaphthalene. *Syn React Inorg Met-Org Chem.* 1996;26(9):1577-1588.
17. Silverstein R, Webster F and Kiemle D. *Spectrometric Identification of Organic Compound.* John Wiley and Sons. New York. 2005; 7 th Edn.
18. Smith P, Brainard J, Morris D, Jarvinen G and Ryan R. Solution and solid state characterization of europium and gadolinium Schiff base complexes and assessment of their potential as contrast agents in magnetic resonance imaging. *J Am Chem Soc.* 1989;111(19):7437–7443.
19. Tümer M, Serin S, Çelik C and Köksal. Transition metal complexes of bidentate Schiff base ligands. *Trans Met Chem.* 1999;24(5):525-532.
20. Mandal S, Thompson L, Gabe E, Charland J and Lee F. Binuclear complexes of the ligand 3,6-bis(2-pyridylthio)pyridazine involving homo- and heterodiatomically binuclear centers (Cu-Cu, Cu-Co, Cu-Zn). Crystal structure of bis[μ-3,6-bis(2-pyridylthio) pyridazine- N1,μ- N2, μ- N3,N4] (μ-chloro) dicopper(II) triperchlorate – acetonitril. *Inorg Chem.* 1988;27(5): 855–859.
21. Bushweller C, Rithner C and Butcher D. Evidence for a strong similarity in conformational and phosphorus-31 NMR parameters between two series of trans-[(tert-C4H9)2PX]2M(CO)Cl complexes [M = Rh(I), Ir(I); X = H, CH3, C2H5, n-C4H9, C6H5]. *Inorg Chem.* 1984;23(13):1967–1970.
22. Atkins A, Blake A and Schröder M. Synthesis of binuclear platinum metal N₄O₂-compartmental complexes: the structures of the protonated metal-free macrocycle [LH₄](PF₆)₂·MeNO₂ and of [Pd₂(L)](BF₄)₂·2MeNO₂. *J Chem Soc Chem Commun.* 1993;353-355.
23. Atkins A, Black D, Blake A, Marin-Becerra A, Parsons S, Ruiz-Ramirez L and Schröder M. Schiff-base compartmental macrocyclic complexes. *Chem Commun.* 1996;457-464.
24. Huang W, Gou S, Hu D, Chantrapromma S, Fun H and Meng Q. Molecular Ladders with Macrocyclic Platforms. *Inorg Chem.* 2001;40(7):1712–1715 (Note).
25. Tümer M, Deligönül N, Gölcü A, Akgün E, Dolaz M, Demirelli H and Dıgırak M. Mixed-ligand copper(II) Complexes: Investigation of their spectroscopic, catalysis, antimicrobial and potentiometric properties. *Trans Met Chem.* 2006;31(1):1-12.
26. Kette S. *Coordination Compounds.* Thomas Nelson and Sons, London. 1975;165.
27. Oberhausen K, Richardson J, Buchanan R, McCusker J, Hendrickson D and Latour J. Synthesis and characterization of dinuclear copper(II) complexes of the dinucleating ligand 2,6-bis[bis((1-methylimidazol-2-yl)methyl)amino)methyl]-4-methylphenol. *Inorg Chem.* 1991;30(6):1357–1365.
28. El-Asmy A, Al-Ansi T and Shaiba Y. Chelated complexes of cadmium(II), cobalt(II), copper(II), mercury(II), nickel(II), uranyl(II) and zinc(II) with benzil bis(4- phenyl thiosemicarbazone). *Trans Meta Chem.* 1989;14(6):446-448.
29. Abras A, Beraldo H, Fantini E, Borges R, Da Rocha M and Tosi L. Spectroscopic studies of metal complexes containing π-delocalized sulfur ligands. Mössbauer and kinetic studies of iron(II) and iron(III) complexes of the antitumor agent 2-formylpyridine thiosemicarbazone. *Inorg Chem Acta.* 1990;172(1):113-117.